## 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 3 JEREMY PHILLIP PUCKETT, Case No.: 2:22-00350-KJM-DB 4 Plaintiff, STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO MOTIONS TO 5 v. **DISMISS AND MOTION TO STRIKE; ORDER** 6 COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, SACRAMENTO COUNTY Action Filed: February 22, 2022 7 SHERIFF'S OFFICE, SACRAMENTO Trial Date: To be determined COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S 8 OFFICE, MARJORIE RENBERGER, MARCI MINTER, 9 ORI GREGERSÉN, WILLARD BAYLES, ROBERT BELL, KAY MAULSBY, DONALD HENRIKSON, AND DOES 1 through 50, 10 11 Defendants. 12 Defendants COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, SACRAMENTO COUNTY SHERIFF'S 13 OFFICE, SACRAMENTO COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, MARJORIE 14 15 DURENBERGER, MARCI MINTER, LORI GREGERSEN, WILLARD BAYLES, ROBERT 16 BELL, KAY MAULSBY and DONALD HENRIKSON hereby stipulate and agree that Plaintiff 17 JEREMY PHILLIP PUCKETT may have an extension until July 22, 2022 to file his oppositions to 18 Defendants' Motions to Dismiss (Dkt. Nos. 16 & 18) and Motion to Strike Portions of Plaintiff's 19 Complaint (Dkt No. 19) (collectively, the "Motions") in order to allow Plaintiff to consider and 20 address the arguments raised in the Motions. The parties further stipulate that the Defendants may have until August 1, 2022 to file their replies in support of the Motions. Pursuant to Eastern District 21 22 Local Rule 144 this stipulation requests court approval for the extension of time to respond. This is 23 Plaintiff's first request for an extension of time to respond to the Motions. 24 IT IS SO STIPULATED. 25 Dated: May 23, 2022 By: /s/ *Harrison J. Frahn* Harrison J. Frahn IV 26 SIMPSON THACHER & BARTLETT LLP 2475 Hanover Street 27 Palo Alto, California 94304 28

Attorneys for Plaintiff Jeremy Phillip Puckett

## 

1	
2	Dated: May 23, 2022 By: _/s/ John R. Whitefleet (auth'd on 5/20/22)_
3	John R. Whitefleet PORTER SCOTT
4	A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 350 University Ave., Suite 200
5	Sacramento, California 95825
6	Attorneys for Defendants COUNTY OF
	SACRAMENTO, SACRAMENTO COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE, SACRAMENTO COUNTY
7	DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, MARJORIE
8	DURENBERGER, MARCI MINTER, LORI GREGERSEN, WILLARD BAYLES, ROBERT
9	BELL, and KAY MAULSBY
10	
11	Dated: May 23, 2022  By: <u>/s/ Pamela B. Shafer (auth'd on 5/21/22)</u> Pamela Shafer
12	RANKIN, SHUEY, RANUCCI, MINTZ,
13	LAMPASONA & REYNOLDS 2030 Franklin Street, Sixth Floor
14	Oakland, CA 94612
15	Attorneys for Defendant DONALD HENRIKSON
16	<u>ORDER</u>
17	IT IS SO ORDERED:
18	1. Plaintiff is granted an extension of time until July 22, 2022, to file his oppositions to
19	Defendants' Motions to Dismiss (Dkt. Nos. 16 & 18) and Motion to Strike Portions of
20	Plaintiff's Complaint (Dkt No. 19); and
21	2. Defendants have until August 1, 2022, to file their replies in support of the motions.
22	DATED: May 26, 2022.
23	100
24	Mulle
25	CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
26	
27	
28	